

*Curriculum Vitae*  
**David Godden**

PHILOSOPHY • MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY  
EAST LANSING, MICHIGAN 48824-1032  
<http://www.davidgodden.ca>  
dgodden@msu.edu

## Academic Appointments

---

- 2015- Assistant Professor  
Philosophy Department, Michigan State University, U.S.A.
- 2010-15 Assistant Professor  
Philosophy Department, Old Dominion University, U.S.A.
- 2008-10 Lecturer  
Philosophy Department, Old Dominion University, U.S.A.
- 2007-08 Visiting Assistant Professor  
Philosophy Department, University of Winnipeg, Canada
- 2005-06 SSHRC Post-Doctoral Research Fellow  
(Social Science and Humanities Research Council of Canada)  
Philosophy Department, University of Windsor, Canada
- 2004 Post-Doctoral Research Fellow  
Philosophy Department, University of Winnipeg, Canada

## Areas of Specialization

---

- Areas of Specialization:* Epistemology • Theory of Reasoning and Argument •  
Logic (formal and informal) • History and Philosophy of Logic
- Areas of Competence:* History of Modern and Analytic Philosophy •  
Philosophy of Language • Metaphysics • Philosophy of Mind

## Education

---

- Ph.D. in Philosophy (2004)*  
McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario      Supervisor: Nicholas Griffin  
Dissertation: Psychologism, Semantics and the Subject Matter of Logic.
- M.A. in Philosophy (1997)*  
York University, North York, Ontario      Supervisor: Stuart Shanker
- Hons. B.A. in Philosophy (1995)*  
Wilfrid Laurier University, Waterloo, Ontario

## Publications

---

### Journal Articles

23. Godden, D. (2017, forthcoming). Presumption as a modal qualifier: Presumption, inference, and managing epistemic risk. *Argumentation: An International Journal on Reasoning*, vol, pp-pp. doi: 10.1007/s10503-017-9422-1
22. Godden, D. and Zenker, F. (2016, forthcoming). A probabilistic analysis of argument cogency. *Synthese*, vol, pp-pp. doi: 10.1007/s11229-016-1299-2
21. Godden, D. (2016, forthcoming). On the norms of visual argument: A case for normative non-revisionism. *Argumentation: An International Journal on Reasoning*, vol, pp-pp. doi: 10.1007/s10503-016-9411-9
20. Godden, D. (2016). On the priority of agent-based argumentative norms. *Topoi: An International Review of Philosophy*, 35, 345-357. doi: 10.1007/s11245-014-9296-x
19. Godden, D. (2015). Argumentation, rationality, and psychology of reasoning. *Informal Logic: Reasoning and Argumentation in Theory and Practice*, 35, 135-166.
18. Godden, D. and Zenker, F. (2015). Denying antecedents and affirming consequents: The state of the art. *Informal Logic: Reasoning and Argumentation in Theory and Practice*, 35, 88-134.
17. Godden, D. (2014). Modeling corroborative evidence: Inference to the best explanation as counter-rebuttal. *Argumentation: An International Journal on Reasoning*, 28, 187-220.
16. Godden, D. (2014). Teaching rational entitlement and responsibility: A Socratic exercise. *Informal Logic: Reasoning and Argumentation in Theory and Practice, Teaching Supplement*, 34, 124-151.
15. Godden, D. (2012). Rethinking the debriefing paradigm: The rationality of belief perseverance. *Logos & Episteme*, 3, 51-74.
14. Godden, D. (2010). The importance of belief in argumentation: Belief, commitment and the effective resolution of a difference of opinion. *Synthese*, 172, 397-414.
13. Godden, D. and Brenner, W. (2010). Wittgenstein and the logic of deep disagreement. *Cogency: Journal of Reasoning and Argumentation*, 2, 41-80.
12. Godden, D. and Griffin, N. (2009). Psychologism and the development of Russell's account of propositions. *History and Philosophy of Logic*, 30, 171-186.
11. Godden, D. (2008). On common knowledge and *ad populum*: Acceptance as grounds for acceptability. *Philosophy & Rhetoric*, 41, 101-129.
10. Godden, D. and Walton, D. (2008). Defeasibility in judicial opinion: Logical or procedural? *Informal Logic: Reasoning & Argumentation in Theory & Practice*, 28, 5-15.
9. Godden, D. and Walton, D. (2007). A theory of presumption for everyday argumentation. *Pragmatics & Cognition*, 15, 313-346.
8. Godden, D. and Walton, D. (2007). Advances in the theory of argumentation schemes and critical questions. *Informal Logic: Reasoning and Argumentation in Theory and Practice*, 27, 267-292.

7. Godden, D. (2006). Departmental boundaries within the corporate body of theory: Quine on the holistic foundations of logic. *Dialogue: Canadian Philosophical Review*, 45, 505-528.
6. Godden, D. and Walton, D. (2006). Argument from expert opinion as legal evidence: Critical questions and admissibility criteria of expert testimony in the American legal system. *Ratio Juris*, 19, 261-286.
5. Godden, D. (2005). Psychologism in the logic of John Stuart Mill: Mill on the subject matter and foundations of ratiocinative logic. *History and Philosophy of Logic*, 26, 115-143.
4. Godden, D. (2005). Deductivism as an interpretative strategy: A reply to Groarke's defense of reconstructive deductivism. *Argumentation and Advocacy: Journal of the American Forensic Association*, 41, 168-183.
3. Walton, D. and Godden, D. (2005). Persuasion dialogue in online dispute resolution. *Artificial Intelligence and Law*, 13, 273-295.
2. Godden, D. and Walton, D. (2004). Denying the antecedent as a legitimate argumentative strategy: A dialectical model. *Informal Logic: Reasoning and Argumentation in Theory and Practice*, 24, 219-243.
1. Godden, D. (2003). Arguing at cross-purposes: Discharging the dialectical obligations of the coalescent model of argumentation. *Argumentation: An International Journal on Reasoning*, 17, 219-243.

### Contributions to Edited Collections

9. Godden, D. (2017). Mill on Logic. In C. Macleod and D.E. Miller (Eds.), *A Companion to Mill* (pp. 175-191). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.
8. Godden, D. (2016). Pushing the bounds of rationality: Argumentation and extended cognition. In F. Paglieri, L. Bonelli, and S. Felletti (Eds.), *The psychology of argument: Cognitive approaches to argumentation and persuasion* (pp. 67-83). Studies in Logic and Argumentation. London: College Publications.
7. Godden, D. (2014). Mill's *System of Logic*. In W.J. Mander (Ed.), *Oxford handbook of British philosophy in the nineteenth century* (pp. 44-70). Oxford: Oxford UP.
6. Godden, D. (2012). The role of mental states in argumentation: Two problems for rationality from the psychology of belief. In F. Paglieri, L. Tummolini, R. Falcone, and M. Miceli (Eds.), *The goals of cognition: Essays in honor of Cristiano Castelfranchi* (pp. 123-143). London: College Publications.
5. Laar, J.A. van and Godden, D. (2011). The Pragma-Dialectical approach to circularity in argumentation. In E. Feteris, B. Garssen and F. Snoeck-Henkemans (Eds.), *Keeping in touch with pragma-dialectics: In honor of Frans H. van Eemeren* (pp. 265-280). Amsterdam: J. Benjamins.
4. Godden, D. (2010). Corroborative evidence. In C. Reed and C.W. Tindale (Eds.), *Dialectics, dialogue and argumentation: An examination of Douglas Walton's theories of reasoning and argument* (pp. 201-212). London: College Publications.

3. Walton, D. and Godden, D. (2008). Rescher on dialogue systems, argumentation and burden of proof. In R. Almeder (Ed.), *Rescher studies: A collection of essays on the philosophical work of Nicholas Rescher, Reading Rescher* (vol.2), (pp. 401-427). Frankfurt: Verlag Ontos.
2. Walton, D. and Godden, D. (2007). Informal logic and the dialectical approach to argument. In H.V. Hansen and R.C. Pinto (Eds.), *Reason reclaimed* (pp. 3-17). Newport News, VA: Vale Press.
1. Walton, D. and Godden, D. (2006). The impact of argumentation on artificial intelligence. In M.A. van Rees and P. Houtlosser (Eds.), *Considering pragma-dialectics* (pp. 287-299). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

### Edited Collections

5. Godden, D., Palczewski, C.H., and Groarke, L. (Eds.). (2016). *Argumentation and Advocacy: Journal of the American Forensic Association, Special Issue: Twenty Years of Visual Argument*, 52(4), 217-299.  
Including: Groarke, L. Palczewski, C.H., and Godden, D. (2016). "Editors' Introduction to the Special Issue: Navigating the Visual Turn in Argument" (pp. 217-235).
4. Eemeren, F.H. van, Garssen, B., Godden, D., and Mitchell, G. (Eds.). (2015). *Proceedings of the Eighth Conference of the International Society for the Study of Argumentation*. Amsterdam: Sic Sat.
3. Eemeren, F.H. van, Garssen, B., Godden, D., and Mitchell, G. (Eds.). (2011). *Proceedings of the Seventh Conference of the International Society for the Study of Argumentation*. Amsterdam: Sic Sat.
2. Godden, D. (Ed.). (2007). *Informal Logic: Reasoning and Argumentation in Theory and Practice*, Special Issue on Douglas Walton, 27(1).  
Including: "Editor's Introduction" (pp. 1-4), and "A bibliography of Douglas Walton's published works, 1971-2007" (pp. 135-147).
1. Hansen, H.V., Tindale, C.W., Blair, J.A., Johnson, R.H. and Godden, D. (Eds.). (2007). *Dissensus and the Search for Common Ground: Proceedings of the Ontario Society for the Study of Argumentation (OSSA) Conference, June 3-6. Windsor, ON: OSSA.*

### Book Reviews & Published Commentaries

5. Godden, D. (2015). Review of: D. Walton, *Burden of proof, presumption and argumentation*. *Cogency: Journal of Reasoning and Argumentation*, 7, 91-107.
4. Godden, D. (2015). Images as arguments: Progress and problems, a brief commentary. *Argumentation: An International Journal on Reasoning*, 29, 235-238.
3. Godden, D. (2009). Review of: M. Vorobej, *A theory of argument*. *History and Philosophy of Logic*, 30, 101-102.
2. Godden, D. (2005). Review of: F.H. van Eemeren & R. Grootendorst, *A systematic theory of argumentation: The pragma-dialectical approach*. *Philosophy in Review*, 25, 72-75.

1. Godden, D. (2000). Review of: C.W. Tindale, *Acts of arguing: A rhetorical model of argument*. *Philosophy in Review*, 20, 384-386.

### Articles Graduate Student Journal

3. Godden, D. (1999). The problems of individuality and incommensurability in Raz's *The morality of freedom*. *De Philosophia*, 15, 33-50.
2. Godden, D. (1998). Language and acquisition in Chomskian theory. *Discourse*, 4, 52-64.
1. Godden, D. (1996). Nehamas' *Life as literature: A case for the defence*. *Kinesis*, 23, 29-46

### Conferences: Presentations & Contributions to Proceedings

---

34. Godden, D. (2016). Revisiting Frege's epistemology: Frege on the nature of proof and justification. Society for the Study of the History of Analytical Philosophy, Denver, Colorado, USA, June 16-18, 2016.
33. Godden, D. (2016). Pushing the bounds of rationality: Argumentation and extended cognition. Objectivity and bias: Ontario Society for the Study of Argumentation (OSSA) 11th International, at the University of Windsor, Windsor, Canada, May 18-21, 2016.
32. Godden, D. (2016). Towards an informal logic of presumptions. Presumptions, Presumptive Inferences, and Burden of Proof, at the University of Granada, Granada, Spain, April 26-28, 2016.
31. Godden, D. (2016). Visual argument: Content, commensurability, and cogency. In D. Mohammed & M. Lewinski (Eds.), *Argumentation and Reasoned Action: Proceedings of the First European Conference on Argumentation, Lisbon, 9-12 June 2015*, vol. 2 (pp. 69-82). Studies in Logic and Argumentation. London: College Publications.
30. Godden, D. (2015). Thinking outside the box: Sources of normativity in normative pragmatics. *Argumentation and Reasoned Action*, 1st European Conference on Argumentation (ECA), at the Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Lisbon, Portugal, June 9-12, 2015.
29. Godden, D. (2014). Against the restorative model of counter rebuttal. International Society for the Study of Argumentation (ISSA), 8th International Conference on Argumentation, at the University of Amsterdam, the Netherlands, July 1-4, 2014.
28. Godden, D. and Zenker, F. (2014). Heuristics, total evidence, and then some: Why DA and AC arguments are still fallacies. Fifteenth Biennial Wake Forest Argumentation Conference, Winston-Salem, NC, April 11-13, 2014.
27. Godden, D. (2013). Argumentation, rationality and the psychology of reasoning. 9th eColloq on argumentation (live internet colloquium), December 12, 2013.
26. Godden, D. (2013). On the norms of visual argument. In D. Mohammed and M. Lewinski (Eds.), *Virtues of argumentation: Proceedings of the 10th International Conference of the Ontario Society for the Study of Argumentation (OSSA)*, 22-26 May

- 2013, CD ROM (pp. 1-13). Windsor, ON: OSSA.
25. Godden, D. (2013). Corroboration, explanation, and sensitivity. Southern Society for Philosophy and Psychology 105th Annual Meeting, Austin, Texas, Feb. 28 – Mar. 2, 2013.
  24. Godden, D. (2012). Corroboration, explanation, and sensitivity. Canadian Society for Epistemology Annual Conference, Intuition and Experimental Epistemology, Université de Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke, Québec, November 23-24, 2012.
  23. Godden, D., Groarke, L. and Hansen, H. (2011). Informal logic and argumentation: An Alta conversation. In R. Roland (Ed.), *Reasoned argument and social change: Selected papers from the 17<sup>th</sup> biennial conference on argumentation* (pp. 48-62). Washington, DC: National Communication Association. Alta, Utah, July 28-31, 2011.
  22. Godden, D. (2011). Modeling corroborative evidence. 17th Biennial NCA/AFA Summer Conference on Argumentation, Alta, Utah, July 28-31, 2011.
  21. Godden, D. (2011). Informal logic and the product, process, and procedural perspectives on argument. 17<sup>th</sup> Biennial NCA/AFA Summer Conference on Argumentation, Alta, Utah, July 28-31, 2011. (invited panelist with L. Groarke and H. Hansen.)
  20. Godden, D. (2011). Frege on the nature of proof and justification: Revisiting Frege's epistemology. Canadian Philosophical Association Annual Meeting at the Congress of the Humanities and Social Sciences. Fredericton, NB, May 30 – June 2, 2011.
  19. Godden, D. (2011). Presumptions in argument: Epistemic versus social approaches. In F. Zenker et al. (Eds.) *Argumentation: Cognition & community: Proceedings of the 9th international conference of the Ontario Society for the Study of Argumentation (OSSA)*, May 18-21, 2011, CD ROM (pp. 1-13). Windsor, ON: OSSA.
  18. Godden, D. (2010). Revisiting Frege's epistemology: Frege on the nature of proof and justification. Canadian Society for Epistemology, Ottawa, Canada, Nov. 12, 2010.
  17. Brenner, W. and Godden, D. (2010). Wittgenstein and the logic of deep disagreement. Third Regional Wittgenstein Workshop, Floyd, VA, Sept. 24-26, 2010.
  16. Godden, D. (2010). Corroborative evidence. Wake Forest University 13<sup>th</sup> Biennial Conference on Argumentation, Winston-Salem, NC, March 19-21, 2010.
  15. Godden, D. (2009). The epistemic utility of Toulmin's argument fields. In J. Ritola et al. (Eds.), *Argument Cultures: Proceedings of the 8<sup>th</sup> Ontario Society for the Study of Argumentation (OSSA) Conference*, June 3-6, 2009, CD-ROM (pp. 1-8). Windsor, ON: OSSA.
  14. Godden, D. (2008). Revisiting Frege's epistemology: Frege on the nature of proof and justification. American Philosophical Association (Bertrand Russell Society/History of Early Analytic Philosophy), Philadelphia, PA, Dec. 28, 2008.
  13. Godden, D. (2008). Rethinking the debriefing paradigm: Bounded rationality and normative explanations of belief perseverance. Canadian Philosophical Association, Congress of the Social Sciences and Humanities, Vancouver, BC, June 2-5, 2008.
  12. Godden, D. (2007). On the utility of fields as evaluative categories of argument. 15<sup>th</sup> Biennial NCA/AFA Summer Conference on Argumentation, Alta, Utah, Aug. 2-5,

2007. (invited panelist with David Zarefsky, Jim Klumpp, and Robin Roland.)
11. Walton, D. and Godden, D. (2007). Redefining knowledge in a way suitable for argumentation. In H.V. Hansen et. al. (Eds.), *Dissensus and the Search for Common Ground: Proceedings of the 7<sup>th</sup> Ontario Society for the Study of Argumentation (OSSA) Conference*, June 6-9, 2007, CD-ROM (pp. 1-13). Windsor, ON: OSSA.
  10. Godden, D. (2007). The importance of belief in argumentation: Belief, commitment and the effective resolution of a difference of opinion. *Dissensus and the Search for Common Ground*, Ontario Society for the Study of Argumentation, June 6-9, 2007.
  9. Godden, D. (2006). The adequacy of foundationalism and coherence as regulative models of belief change. International Society for the Study of Argumentation (ISSA), 6th International Conference on Argumentation, at the University of Amsterdam, the Netherlands, June 27-30, 2006.
  8. Walton, D. and Godden, D. (2006). Alternatives to suspicion and trust as conditions for challenge in argumentative dialogue. In P. Riley (Ed.), *Engaging argument: Selected papers from the 2005 NCA/AFA Summer Conference on Argumentation* (pp. 438-444). Washington, DC: National Communication Association.
  7. Walton, D. and Godden, D. (2005). The nature and status of critical questions in argumentation schemes. In D. Hitchcock (Ed.), *The uses of argument: Proceedings of the 6<sup>th</sup> Ontario Society for the Study of Argumentation (OSSA) Conference at McMaster University* (pp. 476-484). Hamilton, ON: OSSA.
  6. Griffin, N. and Godden, D. (2004). Psychologism and the development of Russell's theory of propositions. American Philosophical Association (Bertrand Russell Society), Boston, MA, Dec. 28, 2004.
  5. Godden, D. (2003). Reconstruction and representation: Deductivism as an interpretative strategy. In J.A Blair, D. Farr, H.V. Hansen, R.H. Johnson and C.W. Tindale (Eds.), *Informal Logic @ 25: Proceedings of the Windsor Ontario Society for the Study of Argumentation Conference*, CD ROM (pp. 1-7). Windsor, ON: OSSA.
  4. Godden, D. (2003). On Toulmin's fields and Wittgenstein's later views on logic. In F.H. van Eemeren, J.A. Blair, C.A. Willard and A.F. Snoeck Henkemans (Eds.), *Proceedings of the Fifth Conference of the International Society for the Study of Argumentation* (pp. 368-375). Amsterdam: Sic Sat.
  3. Godden, D. (2002). On the relation of argumentation and inference. In H.V. Hansen, C.W. Tindale, J.A. Blair, R.H. Johnson and R.C. Pinto (Eds.), *Argumentation and its applications: Proceedings of the Fourth Ontario Society for the Study of Argumentation (OSSA) Conference*, May 17-19, 2001, CD ROM (pp. 1-10). Windsor, ON: OSSA.
  2. Godden, D. (2000). Psychologism in contemporary argumentation theory. In H.V. Hansen, C.W. Tindale, and Elmar Sveda (Eds.), *Proceedings of the Third Ontario Society for the Study of Argumentation (OSSA) Conference: Argumentation at the Century's Turn*, CD ROM. St. Catherines, ON: OSSA.
  1. Godden, D. (2000). Reference, reduction and mental discourse. Ontario Philosophical Society, McMaster University, Hamilton, ON, October 28, 2000.

## Commentaries: Presentations & Contributions to Proceedings

9. Godden, D. (2016). Commentary on Jan Albert van Laar and Erik C.W. Krabbe: "Splitting a difference of opinion." In P. Bondy and L. Benacquista (Eds.), *Argumentation, Objectivity and Bias: Proceedings of the 11th International Conference of the Ontario Society for the Study of Argumentation (OSSA)*, May 18-21, 2016 (pp. 1-9). Windsor, ON: OSSA.
8. Godden, D. (2013). Commentary on: C. Campolo: "Argumentative virtues and deep disagreement." In D. Mohammed and M. Lewinski (Eds.), *Virtues of argumentation: Proceedings of the 10th international conference of the Ontario Society for the Study of Argumentation (OSSA)*, 22-26 May 2013 CD ROM (pp. 1-8). Windsor, ON: OSSA.
7. Godden, D. (2011). Commentary on K. Boyd: "P-dependency and the normative significance of being Gettiered." Canadian Philosophical Association Annual Meeting at the Congress of the Humanities and Social Sciences 2011. Fredericton, NB, Canada, May 30 - June 2, 2011.
6. Godden, D. (2011). Commentary on M. Finocchiaro: "Deep disagreements: A meta-argumentation approach." In F. Zenker et al. (Eds.) *Argumentation: Cognition & community: Proceedings of the 9th international conference of the Ontario Society for the Study of Argumentation (OSSA)*, CD ROM (pp. 1-7). Windsor, ON: OSSA.
5. Godden, D. (2009). Commentary on A. Aberdein: "Argumentation schemes and communities of argumentational practice." In J. Ritola et. al. (Eds.), *Argument Cultures: Proceedings of the Ontario Society for the Study of Argumentation (OSSA) Conference, Windsor, ON, June 3-6, 2009*, CD-ROM (pp. 1-4). Windsor, ON: OSSA.
4. Godden, D. (2007). Commentary on E.C.W. Krabbe: "Predicaments of the concluding stage." In H.V. Hansen et. al. (Eds.), *Dissensus and the Search for Common Ground: Proceedings of the 7th Ontario Society for the Study of Argumentation (OSSA) Conference, June 6-9, 2007*, CD-ROM (pp. 1-5). Windsor, ON: OSSA.
3. Godden, D. (2005). Commentary on D. Jacquette: "Two sides of any issue." *The Uses of Argument*, Ontario Society for the Study of Argumentation (OSSA), Hamilton, ON, May 18-21, 2005.
2. Godden, D. (2004). Commentary on M. Allen: "A road less travelled." American Philosophical Association (Bertrand Russell Society), Boston, MA, Dec. 28, 2004.
1. Godden, D. (1998). Commentary on J. Plug: "Indicators of obiter dicta." In H.V. Hansen, C.W. Tindale and A.V. Colman (Eds.), *Argumentation and rhetoric: Proceedings of the Second Ontario Society for the Study of Argumentation (OSSA) Conference*. St. Catherines, ON: OSSA.



## Departmental Talks

\* indicates an invited talk

- 12.\* Corroborative evidence: Sensitivity, safety, and explanation. Philosophy Visiting Speaker Series, University of Nevada, Las Vegas, October 7, 2016.
- 11.\* Corroborative evidence: Sensitivity, safety, and explanation. Philosophy Visiting Speaker Series, McMaster University, April 8, 2016.
10. Corroborative evidence: Sensitivity, safety, and explanation. Philosophy Colloquium Series, University of Waterloo, April 7, 2016.
9. What should we do when we disagree? Epistemic dimensions of disagreement. Undergraduate Philosophy Club, Michigan State University. Feb. 22, 2016.
8. Corroboration, explanation, and sensitivity. Philosophy Department Research Colloquium at Old Dominion University, Norfolk, VA, Feb. 5, 2013.
7. Corroborative evidence. Philosophy Department Research Colloquium at Old Dominion University, Norfolk, VA, Feb. 16, 2010.
6. Frege on the nature of proof and justification: Revisiting Frege's epistemology. Philosophy Dep't Research Colloquium at Old Dominion University, Nov. 13, 2009.
5. Rethinking the debriefing paradigm: Bounded rationality and normative explanations of belief perseverance. Windsor Research Group for Argumentation and Informal Logic, University of Windsor, Nov. 20, 2006.
- 4.\* Theory of logic in the 19<sup>th</sup> century: Whatley, Mill, Boole, Bolzano, and Frege on the nature and subject matter of logic. Simon Fraser University, Graduate Seminar in the History of Logic, March 17, 2006.
3. On common knowledge and *ad populum*. University of Windsor Philosophy Department Dry-Run Speaker Series, February 22, 2006.
2. Frege on the nature of philosophy. University of Windsor Philosophy Department Graduate Seminar Series, February 16, 2006.
1. Can we change our minds about everything? Quine on the revisability of belief. University of Winnipeg Philosophy Department Colloquia Series, January 26, 2005.

## Research Grants, Awards, & Fellowships

---

2016	How pictures persuade: Evaluating visual arguments. MSU CAL Faculty Summer Fellowship Program (FSFP), College Competition.	US\$	7,000
2013	How pictures persuade: Evaluating visual argument. ODU Summer Research Fellowship Program (SRFP), University Competition.	US\$	6,000
2009	Association for Informal Logic and Critical Thinking (AILACT) Essay Award for: The importance of belief in argumentation. <i>Synthese</i>	US\$	300
2005-2006	SSHRC* Post-Doctoral Research Fellowship	/annum	CDN\$ 35,000
2004	Post-Doctoral Research Fellowship (Institutionally awarded)/annum		CDN\$ 31,200
2002-2003	Ontario Graduate Scholarship		CDN\$ 15,000
2000-2002	SSHRC* Doctoral Fellowship	/annum	CDN\$ 17,700
2001-2002	McMaster Graduate Scholarship	(Declined by candidate)	CDN\$ 8,000
2000-2001	Ontario Graduate Scholarship	(Declined by candidate)	CDN\$ 11,859
2000-2001	McMaster Graduate Scholarship	(Declined by candidate)	CDN\$ 8,000
2000-2001	James F. Harvey & Helen S. Harvey Travel Scholarship (McMaster)		CDN\$ 1,000
2000-2001	Albert Shalom Travel Scholarship (McMaster)		CDN\$ 1,000
1999-2000	Ontario Graduate Scholarship		CDN\$ 11,859
1999-2000	McMaster Graduate Scholarship	(Declined by candidate)	CDN\$ 8,000
1998-1999	McMaster Graduate Scholarship		CDN\$ 8,000

\* SSHRC grants are funded by the Social Science and Humanities Research Council of Canada (Canada's national granting agency), and are competitively awarded on the basis of project applications submitted by the recipient.

## Teaching: Experience & Development

---

### Courses Taught: Michigan State University

**Epistemology** (Phil 460): 2016F

Michigan State University, *Assistant Professor*.

**20<sup>th</sup> Century Philosophy** [Analytical] (Phil 418): 2015F, 2017S

Michigan State University, *Assistant Professor*.

**Formal Reasoning** [Logic] (Phil 330), 2016S, 2016F

Michigan State University, *Assistant Professor*.

**Logic and Reasoning** [Critical Thinking] (Phil 130): 2017S

Michigan State University, *Assistant Professor*.

**Introduction to Philosophy** (Phil 101), 2015F

Michigan State University, *Assistant Professor*.

Prior to appointment at Michigan State University

**Theory of Knowledge** (Phil 434/534): 2013F, 2011F, 2010S,  
Old Dominion University, *Assistant Professor* (2011 -), *Lecturer* (2010).

**Contemporary Analytical Philosophy** (Phil 406/506): 2015S, 2011S,  
Old Dominion University, *Assistant Professor*.

**Modern Philosophy** (Phil 331): 2012S – 2015S,  
Old Dominion University, *Assistant Professor*.

**Philosophy of Language** (Phil 495/595): 2012F, 2010F,  
Old Dominion University, *Assistant Professor*.

**Introduction to Philosophy** (Phil 110P), 2008F-2015S  
Old Dominion University, *Assistant Professor* (2010-)/*Lecturer* (2008-10).

**Abduction & Inference to the Best Explanation** (Phil 3301.6): 2008W,  
University of Winnipeg, *Visiting Assistant Professor*.

**Values and the Human Condition (Intro Phil)** (Phil-1002.6): 2008W&Su,  
University of Winnipeg, *Visiting Assistant Professor*.

**Philosophy of Mind** (Phil 2264.3): 2007F,  
University of Winnipeg, *Visiting Assistant Professor*.

**Introduction to Logical Reasoning** (Phil 1301.6 ): 2007-08 F/W,  
University of Winnipeg, *Visiting Assistant Professor*.

**Reasoning About Weird Things** (Phil 01-34-266): 2006W,  
University of Windsor, *Sessional Lecturer*.

**Reasoning Skills** (Phil 01-34-160): 2005F, 2004W,  
University of Windsor, *Sessional Lecturer*.

**Logic** (Phil 35.2302/6): 2004-05 F/W,  
University of Winnipeg, *Sessional Lecturer*.

**Modern Philosophy** (Phil 2C06E): 2002-03 F/W,  
McMaster University, *Sessional Lecturer*.

**Reasoning & Argumentation** (PY/CS 201): 2002W,  
Wilfrid Laurier University (Brantford Campus), *Sessional Lecturer*.

*Teaching Assistant*, September 1998 to April 2002, and September 2003 to April 2004  
Courses: (i) **Modern Philosophy** (Descartes to Hume), (ii) **Formal Logic**, (iii)  
**Philosophy of Psychology** (Descartes, Freud, Foucault), (iv) **Critical Thinking**  
McMaster University, *Teaching Assistant* (lead tutorials, grading, etc.).

## Teaching Development

2016. Attendee. American Association of Philosophy Teachers, Twenty-First Biennial AAPT Workshop-Conference on Teaching Philosophy, July 27-31, 2016, Saginaw Valley State University, Saginaw, Michigan.
2015. Presenter. *Teaching Critical Thinking through Rational Entitlement and Responsibility*. The Provost's Conversations on Teaching and Learning, Center for Teaching & Learning, ODU. January 22, 2015. Video of presentation available at: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=HGgXFxOZzqY>
2013. Participant. *Learning Through Writing: Improving Disciplinary Writing*, Spring term workshop series  
Quality Enhancement Program, Center for Teaching & Learning, ODU.
2001. Participant. *Principles and Practice of University Teaching*, Full graduate course  
Topics: effective lecturing, making presentations, problem-based learning, leading small groups, course design, constructing tests and student evaluation.  
Centre for Leadership in Learning, McMaster University.

## Service: Professional & Academic

---

### Service to Profession

#### Directing & Organizational Board:

- (i) *International Society for the Study of Argumentation* (2009 – present).

#### Editorial Boards:

- (i) *Argumentation and Advocacy* (2016-present)  
(ii) *Topoi: An International Review of Philosophy* (2010- present),  
(iii) *Cogency: Journal of Reasoning and Argumentation* (Scientific Committee, 2010 - present),  
(iv) Windsor Studies in Argumentation (book series) (Editorial Board, 2012-present).

#### Referee for Journals:

- (i) *Informal Logic* (2015 - 2009 incl., 2007),  
(ii) *Argumentation* (2017 - 2009 incl., 2007, 2005, 2004),  
(iii) *Australasian Journal of Philosophy* (2016, 2012, 2010, 2009, 2007),  
(iv) *Cogency: Journal of Reasoning and Argumentation* (2017, 2011)  
(v) *Topoi: International Review of Philosophy* (2015, 2014),  
(vi) *Argumentation & Advocacy* (2014, 2013, 2011, 2006),  
(vii) *Synthese* (2017, 2014),  
(viii) *Logique et Analyse* (2014),  
(ix) *Philosophy and Technology* (2015),  
(x) *Pragmatics & Cognition* (2011),  
(xi) *Philosophy & Rhetoric* (2010),  
(xii) *Journal of Philosophical Research* (2009),  
(xiii) *History and Philosophy of Logic* (2008),  
(xiv) *Dialogue* (2004).

Referee for presses:

- (i) John Benjamins (2010),
- (ii) College Publications (2009),
- (iii) Cambridge University Press (2006).

Guest editor and co-editorships:

- (i) *Topoi*, Special Issue: "Epistemology of Disagreement: Perspectives from Philosophy and Argumentation," with P. Bondy, (in process),
- (ii) *Topoi*, Special Issue: "The practice/s of giving reasons," with C. Campolo, (in process),
- (iii) (2016). *Argumentation and Advocacy*, Special Issue: "Twenty years of visual argument," vol. 52(4), with L. Groarke and C. Palczewski, (2016).
- (iv) (2015). *Proceedings of the Eighth International Society for the Study of Argumentation Conference*, with F.H. van Eemeren, B. Garrsen, and G. Mitchell, (2015).
- (v) (2011). *Proceedings of the Seventh International Society for the Study of Argumentation Conference*, with F.H. van Eemeren, B. Garrsen, and G. Mitchell, (2011).
- (vi) (2007). *Informal Logic*, Special Issue on Douglas Walton, vol. 27(1) (2007),
- (vii) (2007). Managing editor, *Dissensus and the Search for Common Ground Conference Proceedings*, Ontario Society for the Study of Argumentation (OSSA), (2007).

Conference directing, organizational, and program committee:

- (i) Planning and program committee member, *9<sup>th</sup> Conference of the International Society for the Study of Argumentation*, 2018, Amsterdam, the Netherlands
- (ii) Program committee member, *2nd European Conference on Argumentation: Argumentation and Inference*, June 20-23, 2017, Fribourg, Switzerland.
- (iii) Program committee member, *1st European Conference on Argumentation: Argumentation and Reasoned Action*, June 9-12, 2015, Lisbon, Portugal.
- (iv) Planning and program committee member, *8<sup>th</sup> Conference of the International Society for the Study of Argumentation*, July 1-4, 2014, Amsterdam, the Netherlands.
- (v) Program committee member, *Dissensus and the Search for Common Ground*, Ontario Society for the Study of Argumentation, June 2007, Windsor, Canada.
- (vi) Program committee member, *The Uses of Argument*, Ontario Society for the Study of Argumentation, May 2005, Hamilton, Canada.

Member of the Award Committee for the J. Anthony Blair Student Essay Prize in Argumentation, 2009.

Webmaster for the Association for Informal Logic and Critical Thinking (AILACT), June 2007 - July 2012.

## Academic Service to University

### Michigan State University

Academic Environment Committee (AEC), (Chair from 2016 – present)  
(mainly responsible for organizing the distinguished and visiting speaker series)  
2015 - present. Department of Philosophy, Michigan State University.

### Prior to appointment at Michigan State University

Research and Publications Committee,  
2015-2014. College of Arts & Letters, Old Dominion University.

General Education Assessment Committee (GEAC),  
2014. Departmental representative to university-wide panels.  
member of departmental assessment team for student learning outcomes for  
introductory philosophy and ethics courses; participated in assessment summit for  
writing-intensive courses across the curriculum

Departmental Criteria for Evaluating Scholarly Activity and Research (Chair),  
2014. Dept. of Phil & RS, Old Dominion University.

Dean's Advisory Council,  
2014-2012. College of Arts & Letters, Old Dominion University.

Lecturer Promotion Review Committee,  
2014 – 2011. Dept. of Phil & RS, Old Dominion University.

Lecturer Teaching Portfolio Review Committee,  
2014 – 2011. Dept. of Phil & RS, Old Dominion University.

Search Committee Chair, (full-time lecturer position)  
2014 – 2013. Dept. of Phil & RS, Old Dominion University.

ODU University representative to Virginia Department of Education (VDoE)  
2012. End of Course (EOC) Standards of Learning (SOL) reading higher education  
standard setting meeting, Nov. 7-8, 2012, Glen Allen, VA.

Technology Committee,  
2012. College of Arts & Letters, Old Dominion University.

Search Committee Member, (full-time assistant professor position)  
2012 – 2011. Dept. of Phil & RS, Old Dominion University.

Teaching Committee,  
2012- 2011; 2010-2008. College of Arts & Letters, Old Dominion University.

Curriculum Committee,  
2012 – 2010. College of Arts & Letters, Old Dominion University.

## Professional Affiliations

---

- International Society for the Study of Argumentation (ISSA)  
Member of Board of Directors / Organizational Board (2009 – present)
- Southern Society for Philosophy & Psychology (SSPP)
- Canadian Society for Epistemology (CSE)
- Society for the Study of the History of Analytical Philosophy (SSHAP)
- American Association of Philosophy Teachers (AAPT)
- Association for Informal Logic and Critical Thinking (AILACT)

February, 2017